

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,105	07/17/2003	Parminder Singh Sangha	248-00284	1052
26753 7	590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			NGUYEN, HANH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILWAUKEE	L, W1 33202		2834	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/622,105	SANGHA, PARMINDER SINGH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nguyen N Hanh	2834			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External efter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rejusperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for the period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will, so the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended period for extended peri	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛	0) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicati	on No			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •	_				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "electro-hydraulic actuator" must be shown or the feature canceled from claim 11. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Danko et al.

Regarding claim 1, Danko et al. disclose an electric motor arranged for passing therethrough a supply of fluid for lubricating and/or cooling said motor, the motor being provided with heating means (13 in Fig. 1) for heating the fluid when the fluid enters the motor (Col. 4, lines 5-25).

3. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Champagne et al.

Regarding claim 1, Champagne et al. disclose an electric motor arranged for passing therethrough a supply of fluid for lubricating and/or cooling said motor (Fig. 1 and Col. 1, lines 45-50), the motor being provided with heating means (15 in Fig. 5) for heating the fluid when the fluid enters the motor (Col. 4, lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 7, Champagne et al. also disclose an electric motor wherein the heating means (15 in Fig. 5) is arranged such that it is not in contact with the fluid.

Regarding claim 8, Champagne et al. also disclose an electric motor wherein the heating means comprises an annular heating element (15).

Regarding claim 9, Champagne et al. also disclose an electric motor wherein the heating element is mounted close to or adjacent to a region of the motor at which the fluid enters the motor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Champagne et al. in view of Cochimin.

Regarding claim 2, Champagne et al. show all limitations of the claimed invention except showing the electric motor arranged such that the fluid flows through an annular gap between a rotor and a stator of a motor.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,105

Art Unit: 2834

However, Cochimin discloses an electric motor arranged such that the fluid flows through an annular gap between a rotor and a stator of a motor (Fig. 1) for the purpose of cooling off the rotor.

Since Champagne et al. and Cochimin are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Cochimin would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Champagne et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Champagne et al. by arranging the electric motor such that the fluid flows through an annular gap between a rotor and a stator of a motor as taught by Cochimin for the purpose of cooling off the rotor.

Regarding claim 3, Cochimin also disclose an electric motor including an isolator (Fig. 2) for isolating stator windings of said stator from the fluid.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Champagne et al. in view of Kawai et al.

Regarding claim 4, Champagne et al. show all limitations of the claimed invention except showing the electric motor including a temperature sensing arrangement in association with the heating means.

However, Kawai et al. disclose an electric control method using a temperature sensor (Col. 4, line 19) for the purpose of detecting the operation conditions of the engine (Col. 4, lines 5-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,105

Art Unit: 2834

Since Champagne et al. and Kawai et al. are in the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kawai et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Champagne et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Champagne et al. by including a temperature sensing arrangement in association with the heating means as taught by Kawai et al. for the purpose of detecting the operation conditions of the machine.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Champagne et al. also disclose an electric motor wherein the heating means is arrange to raise the temperature of the fluid to a value above –50 degrees C or no less than –40 degrees C (Col. 4, lines 42-45).

6. Claims 10 and rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Champagne et al.

Regarding claim 10, Champagne et al. disclose the invention except for showing the heating element is spring urged into contact with the motor structure, however, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Regarding claim 11, Champagne et al. disclose the invention except for showing the electric motor is employed in an electro-hydraulic actuator and the fluid being hydraulic fluid supplied by said hydraulic pump. However, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structure limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,105

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Darren Schuberge, can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

HNN

April 17, 2004

DANG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER